ORIGINAL ARTICLE

G.-R. Han · Y.-W. Lee · H.-L. Lee · S.-M. Kim T.-W. Ku · I.-H. Kang · H.-S. Lee · J.-J. Hwang

A Korean population study of the nine STR loci FGA, VWA, D3S1358, D18S51, D21S11, D8S1179, D7S820, D13S317 and D5S818

Received: 15 September 1999 / Accepted: 14 January 2000

Abstract DNA typing was performed on 379 randomly selected unrelated Koreans using the nine short tandem repeat loci FGA, VWA, D3S1358, D18S51, D21S11, D8S1179, D7S820, D13S317 and D5S818 present in the AmpFlSTR Profiler Plus PCR amplification kit. Allele frequencies, heterozygosity, power of discrimination, mean exclusion chance, and polymorphism information content of each locus were calculated by statistical analysis. All nine loci were in Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium. The combined discrimination index and the combined mean exclusion chance in Koreans was 2.31×10^{-12} and 0.99983, respectively. By evaluation of 297 children from 128 families, 2 mutations were found at the FGA locus and 1 each at the D18S51 and D13S317 loci. This study demonstrates that this multiplex system is a useful and convenient tool for forensic identification and parentage testing in Korea.

Key words STR · Allele frequency · Korea

Introduction

Analysis of short tandem repeat by the polymerase chain reaction (PCR) has had a remarkable impact in determination of biological relatedness between individuals (Alford et al. 1994) and in human identity test (Gill et al. 1994, 1995; Hammond et al. 1994). Currently, DNA typing after PCR amplification of short tandem repeat (STR) or variable numbers of tandem repeat (VNTR) loci is becoming the method of choice for forensic identification and paternity testing. Particularly STR loci are widely used due to the ease of amplification by PCR even in cases with de-

J.-J. Hwang (🖾) · G.-R. Han · Y.-W. Lee · H.-L. Lee S.-M. Kim · T.-W. Ku · I.-H. Kang · H.-S. Lee Department of Legal Medicine, College of Medicine, Korea University, 126-1, Anam-Dong, Seongbuk-Gu, Seoul, Korea 136-705 e-mail: jjhwang@korea.ac.kr;

Tel.: +82-2-9206148; Fax: +82-2-9283901

graded DNA. According to the vast progress in techniques, various multiplex PCR systems detectable with laser fluorescence have been developed and recently, a multiplex amplification kit for 12 loci became commercially available (Lazaruk et al. 1998). We used the AmpF/STR Profiler Plus PCR amplification Kit (PE Applied Biosystems, Foster City, Calif.) to amplify nine STR loci. In a single amplification tube this kit amplifies FGA, VWA, and D3S1358, all labelled with 5-FAM, D18S51, D21S11 and D8S1179, labelled with JOE, D7S820, D13S317 and D5S818, labelled with NED and amelogenin labelled with JOE. To introduce a new STR system, a population database for the relevant population must be established for statistical analysis of forensic cases. In this study, we studied the nine short tandem repeat (STR) loci present in the AmpFISTR Profiler Plus PCR amplification kit for allele frequency distributions and characteristics in a population of Koreans. In addition, we studied Korean families to investigate the genetic stability of the systems.

Materials and methods

Sample preparation and PCR amplification

Buccal swab samples were obtained from 636 legally proven Korean family members and from these 379 unrelated individuals have been used to calculate the allele frequencies of the nine STR loci. DNA was extracted using standard proteinase-K digestion, phenol/chloroform extraction and ethanol precipitation. Each DNA was quantified with a spectrophotometer (DU-650, Beckmann, Westbury, NY). For PCR 2 ng of genomic DNA was amplified in a GeneAmp PCR system 9600 (PE Applied Biosystems) for 11 min preincubated at 95 °C, followed by 28 cycles of 1 min at 94 °C, 1 min at 59 °C, 1 min at 72 °C and a final extension for 45 min at 60 °C.

Electrophoresis and data analysis

Aliquots of 1 μ l of PCR product were mixed with 0.5 μ l of GeneScan-500 ROX (PE Applied Biosystems) size standard and 2.5 μ l of deionized formamide. The samples were then denatured at 95 °C for 2–3 min and snap-cooled in an ice-water bath. Electrophoresis was carried out on a 4% polyacrylamide sequencing gel

 Table 1
 Allele frequencies for the nine STR loci in 379 unrelated Koreans (MEC mean exclusion chance, PD power of discrimination, PIC polymorphism information content)

$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Allele	FGA	vWA	D3S1358	D18S51	D21S11	D8S1179	D7S820	D13S317	D5S818
9 0.001 0.002 0.059 0.140 0.844 10 0.116 0.168 0.132 0.131 12 0.004 0.046 0.160 0.261 0.177 0.221 13 0.212 0.044 0.194 0.687 0.231 0.333 0.38 14 0.212 0.044 0.194 0.651 0.040 0.071 0.221 15 0.023 0.389 0.180 0.152 0.040 0.071 0.111 16 0.169 0.274 0.079 0.062 1.11 1.11 18 0.031 0.307 0.277 0.062 1.11 1.11 19 0.063 0.887 0.003 0.061 1.11 1.11 21 0.108 0.037 0.016 1.11 1.11 21 0.108 0.013 0.061 1.11 1.11 21 0.111 0.012 0.016 1.11 1.11 21 0.001 1.11 0.002 1.11 1.11 21 0.001 1.11 0.012 1.11 1.11 21 0.001 1.11 0.012 1.11 1.11	7				0.001			0.007	0.001	0.015
10	8						0.004	0.133	0.269	0.005
11	9				0.001		0.002	0.059	0.140	0.084
12 0.004 0.046 0.261 0.177 0.222 13 0.212 0.044 0.194 0.165 0.033 0.038 0.132 14 0.212 0.044 0.194 0.166 0.163 0.033 0.038 0.132 15 0.028 0.389 0.186 0.062 0.061	10						0.116	0.186	0.132	0.199
13	11				0.008		0.087	0.313	0.235	0.312
140.2120.0440.1941.660.0040.0070.011150.0280.3800.1800.0790.0620.0010.0110.0110.0110.0110.0120.0650.0100.0110.0120.011 <t< td=""><td>12</td><td></td><td></td><td>0.004</td><td>0.046</td><td></td><td>0.150</td><td>0.261</td><td>0.177</td><td>0.222</td></t<>	12			0.004	0.046		0.150	0.261	0.177	0.222
15 0.028 0.389 0.186 0.152 0.004 0.001 16 0.169 0.274 0.079 0.062 0.061 17 0.001 0.307 0.027 0.065 0.010 1 18 0.032 0.186 0.078 0.051 1 1 1 19 0.063 0.087 0.003 0.061 1 1 1 21 0.108 0.010 0.026 1 1 1 21.2 0.001 0.003 0.011 1 1 1 22.2 0.004 0.003 0.003 1 1 1 23.2 0.007 0.003 0.001 1 1 1 24.4 0.174 0.001 0.002 1 1 1 24.2 0.005 0.001 1 1 1 1 25.2 0.003 1 0.012 1 1 1 26 0.051 1 0.012 0.012 1 1 27 0.001 1 0.002 1 1 1 30.2 0.001 1 0.002 1 1 1	13			0.001	0.248		0.251	0.033	0.038	0.152
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	14		0.212	0.044	0.194		0.166	0.004	0.007	0.011
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	15		0.028	0.389			0.152	0.004	0.001	
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	16		0.169	0.274	0.079		0.062			
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$			0.307	0.207	0.065		0.010			
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$			0.186		0.057					
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	19	0.063		0.003						
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	20		0.011							
22 0.182 0.008 23.2 0.007 24 0.174 24.2 0.005 25.2 0.003 26.0 0.003 26.2 0.001 27 0.011 0.002 28 0.001 27 0.011 0.002 28. 0.001 0.012 29 0.011 0.012 29 0.012 0.003 31 0.001 0.0067 32.2 0.003 0.117 31.2 0.0067 0.003 32.2 0.003 0.117 31.2 0.003 0.003 32.2 0.002 0.003 33.2 0.003 0.003 34.2 0.003 0.003 34.2 0.004 0.003 34.2 0.037 0.813 0.807 0.752 0.815 0.768 Sexpected heterozygosity 0.871 0.728 0.813 0.803 0.676 0.678 0.678 0.678 0.678					0.016					
22.2 0.004 23 0.211 0.003 23.2 0.007 24 0.174 24.2 0.005 25 0.095 0.001 25.2 0.003 26 0.051 27 0.011 0.002 28.2 0.001 29 0.215 30 0.012 29 0.371 30.2 0.003 31.2 0.0067 32.2 0.003 31.2 0.003 32.2 0.003 33.2 0.003 34 0.003 35.2 0.003 34.2 0.003 54.2 0.003 35.2 0.003 36.3 0.003 37.4 0.003 38.4 0.003 39.4 0.003 31.2 0.004 52.2 0.003 33.4 0.003 34.2 0.003 54.4 0.758 <tr< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></tr<>										
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$					0.008					
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	22.2	0.004								
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$					0.003					
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$										
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	24	0.174								
25.2 0.003 26 0.051 26.2 0.001 27 0.011 0.002 28 0.001 0.042 28.2 0.012 29 0.215 30 0.3711 30.2 0.009 31 0.067 32.2 0.067 32.2 0.018 33.2 0.003 34.2 0.003 34.2 0.0042 Exact test for HWE $p = 0.936$ $p = 0.575$ $p = 0.541$ $p = 0.543$ $p = 0.579$ $p = 0.659$ $p = 0.44$ Observed heterozygosity 0.871 0.787 0.728 0.813 0.805 0.807 0.752 0.815 0.768 Expected heterozygosity 0.861 0.789 0.722 0.846 0.785 0.568 0.609 0.756										
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$					0.001					
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$										
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$										
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$										
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$										
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		0.001								
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$										
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$										
30.3 0.003 31 0.117 31.2 0.067 32 0.018 32.2 0.092 33 0.003 32.2 0.092 33 0.003 32.2 0.003 34.2 0.003 Exact test for HWE $p = 0.936$ $p = 0.575$ $p = 0.541$ $p = 0.543$ $p = 0.579$ $p = 0.820$ $p = 0.659$ $p = 0.44$ Observed heterozygosity 0.871 0.787 0.728 0.813 0.805 0.807 0.752 0.811 0.789 0.722 0.846 0.723 0.586 0.603 0.678 0.568 0.609 0.576										
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$										
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$										
32 0.018 32.2 0.092 33 0.003 33.2 0.042 34 0.003 34.2 0.004 Exact test for HWE $p = 0.936$ $p = 0.575$ $p = 0.541$ $p = 0.954$ $p = 0.954$ $p = 0.579$ $p = 0.820$ $p = 0.659$ $p = 0.44$ Observed heterozygosity 0.871 0.787 0.728 0.813 0.805 0.807 0.752 0.815 0.785 MEC 0.723 0.586 0.482 0.603 0.678 0.578 0.568 0.603 0.678 0.568 0.609 0.576										
32.2 0.092 33 0.003 33.2 0.042 34 0.003 34.2 0.004 Exact test for HWE $p = 0.936$ $p = 0.575$ $p = 0.541$ $p = 0.543$ $p = 0.954$ $p = 0.579$ $p = 0.820$ $p = 0.659$ $p = 0.44$ Observed heterozygosity 0.871 0.787 0.728 0.813 0.805 0.807 0.752 0.815 0.785 MEC 0.723 0.586 0.482 0.603 0.678 0.578 0.568 0.603 0.678 0.568 0.609 0.576										
33 0.003 33.2 0.042 34 0.003 34.2 0.004 Exact test for HWE p = 0.936 p = 0.575 p = 0.541 p = 0.543 p = 0.954 p = 0.579 p = 0.820 p = 0.659 p = 0.44 Observed heterozygosity 0.871 0.787 0.728 0.813 0.805 0.807 0.752 0.815 0.768 Expected heterozygosity 0.861 0.789 0.722 0.846 0.785 0.839 0.777 0.803 0.783 MEC 0.723 0.586 0.482 0.697 0.603 0.678 0.568 0.609 0.576										
33.2 0.042 34 0.003 34.2 0.004 Exact test for HWE p = 0.936 p = 0.575 p = 0.541 p = 0.543 p = 0.954 p = 0.579 p = 0.820 p = 0.659 p = 0.44 Observed heterozygosity 0.871 0.787 0.728 0.813 0.805 0.807 0.752 0.815 0.768 Expected heterozygosity 0.861 0.789 0.722 0.846 0.785 0.839 0.777 0.803 0.783 MEC 0.723 0.586 0.482 0.697 0.603 0.678 0.568 0.609 0.576										
34 0.003 34.2 0.004 Exact test for HWE p=0.936 p=0.575 p=0.541 p=0.543 p=0.954 p=0.579 p=0.820 p=0.659 p=0.44 Observed heterozygosity 0.871 0.787 0.728 0.813 0.805 0.807 0.752 0.815 0.768 Expected heterozygosity 0.861 0.789 0.722 0.846 0.785 0.839 0.777 0.803 0.783 MEC 0.723 0.586 0.482 0.697 0.603 0.678 0.568 0.609 0.576										
34.2 0.004 Exact test for HWE $p = 0.936$ $p = 0.575$ $p = 0.541$ $p = 0.543$ $p = 0.954$ $p = 0.579$ $p = 0.820$ $p = 0.659$ $p = 0.44$ Observed heterozygosity 0.871 0.787 0.728 0.813 0.805 0.807 0.752 0.815 0.768 Expected heterozygosity 0.861 0.789 0.722 0.846 0.785 0.839 0.777 0.803 0.783 MEC 0.723 0.586 0.482 0.697 0.603 0.678 0.568 0.609 0.576										
Exact test for HWE $p = 0.936$ $p = 0.575$ $p = 0.541$ $p = 0.543$ $p = 0.954$ $p = 0.579$ $p = 0.820$ $p = 0.659$ $p = 0.44$ Observed heterozygosity0.8710.7870.7280.8130.8050.8070.7520.8150.768Expected heterozygosity0.8610.7890.7220.8460.7850.8390.7770.8030.783MEC0.7230.5860.4820.6970.6030.6780.5680.6090.576										
Observed heterozygosity 0.871 0.787 0.728 0.813 0.805 0.807 0.752 0.815 0.768 Expected heterozygosity 0.861 0.789 0.722 0.846 0.785 0.839 0.777 0.803 0.783 MEC 0.723 0.586 0.482 0.697 0.603 0.678 0.568 0.609 0.576	34.2					0.004				
Expected heterozygosity0.8610.7890.7220.8460.7850.8390.7770.8030.783MEC0.7230.5860.4820.6970.6030.6780.5680.6090.576		-	-		-	*		-	-	p = 0.445
MEC 0.723 0.586 0.482 0.697 0.603 0.678 0.568 0.609 0.576										
PD 0.996 0.924 0.876 0.959 0.929 0.954 0.916 0.932 0.920										
PIC 0.846 0.758 0.676 0.829 0.761 0.816 0.743 0.774 0.750	PIC	0.846	0.758	0.676	0.829	0.761	0.816	0.743	0.774	0.750

on an ABI 377 Genetic Analyzer (PE Applied Biosystems) for 2 h at a constant 3000 V with a fixed temperature of 51 °C. Fragment sizes were determined automatically using Genescan software ver 2.1 (PE Applied Biosystems), and typed by comparison with the allelic ladder using Genotyper software ver 2.1.

Statistical analysis

The frequency of each allele for each locus was calculated from the observed number of each genotype. To evaluate Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium (HWE), the exact test (Guo and Thompson 1992) was performed using the DNA-View program (Charles Brenner). The observed heterozygosity (obs-H) and the expected heterozygosity (exp-H) (Nei and Roychoudhury 1974), the polymorphism informa-

 Table 2 Comparison of the discrimination indexes for the nine STR loci

	Discrimination in	ndex	Mean exclusion chance				
	African- American ^a	US Caucasian ^a	S Caucasian ^a Korean		US Caucasian ^a	Korean	
FGA	0.035	0.036	0.004	0.720	0.717	0.723	
VWA	0.058	0.065	0.076	0.639	0.617	0.586	
D3S1358	0.102	0.078	0.124	0.526	0.580	0.482	
D18S51	0.028	0.030	0.041	0.752	0.741	0.697	
D21S11	0.033	0.045	0.071	0.728	0.684	0.603	
D8S1179	0.075	0.067	0.046	0.593	0.613	0.678	
D7S820	0.081	0.061	0.084	0.574	0.631	0.568	
D13S317	0.131	0.074	0.068	0.473	0.595	0.609	
D5S818	0.097	0.140	0.080	0.538	0.455	0.576	
Combined	1.48×10^{-11}	1.04×10^{-11}	2.31×10^{-12}	0.99986	0.99988	0.99983	

^a AmpFlSTR Profiler Plus PCR amplification kit user's manual

Father		Mother					
Age	No. of meioses	Age	No. of meioses				
<19	0	<19	4				
19–24	18	19–24	84				
25-30	196	25-30	304				
30–34	246	30-34	124				
35–39	76	35-39	24				
≥ 40	8	≥ 40	4				
Unknown	50	Unknown	50				
Total	594	Total	594				

tion content (PIC) (Botstein et al. 1980), the mean exclusion chance (MEC) (Kruger et al. 1968), the power of discrimination (PD) and the discrimination index (DI) (Jones 1972) were also calculated.

Among the 636 individuals, 297 children from 128 families and their parents were analysed for possible mutations at each locus.

Results and discussion

Population data

The allele frequencies of the nine loci are shown in Table 1 and a total of 56 different genotypes and 18 alleles were found for FGA, 25 different genotypes and 7 alleles for

Table 4Mutations observedat the FGA, D18S51, D13S317loci from 594 meiosis

VWA, 17 different genotypes and 8 alleles for D3S1358, 62 different genotypes and 16 alleles for D18S51, 47 different genotypes and 15 alleles for D21S11 and 35 different genotypes and 10 alleles for D8S1179. At the D21S11 locus, two 30.3 alleles were observed that have not yet been reported. A total of 27 different genotypes and 9 alleles for D7S820, a total of 26 genotypes and 9 alleles for D13S317, and a total of 26 different genotypes and 8 alleles for D5S818 were observed.

The heterozygosity and other parameters of forensic importance of each locus were calculated from allele frequencies (Table 1). The FGA, D18S51 and D8S1179 loci exhibited a higher mean exclusion chance and power of discrimination than the other six loci. From the exact test, all nine loci were found to be in Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium. We also calculated the combined discrimination index (DI) and combined mean exclusion chance (MEC) and compared these with data from African-American and American-Caucasian populations (PE Applied Biosystems) (Table 2). A smaller combined DI and a larger combined MEC in Koreans than in African-Americans and American-Caucasians means that this multiplex system has a higher forensic efficiency for the forensic identification and paternity testing for Koreans than other races. By comparison of the allele frequenies for FGA, D21S11 and VWA with other Korean population studies, no significant differences were found (data not shown).

Locus	FGA				D18S51		D13S317	
	Case 1		Case 2		Case 1		Case 1	
	Genotype	Age	Genotype	Age	Genotype	Age	Genotype	Age
Father	23–25	33	19–24	53	14-21	50	13–13	42
Child	24-24	4	25-25	20	13-15	44	10-13	39
Mother	19–24	30	22-25	50	13-20	20	12-13	16
Mutation	Paternal				Paternal		Paternal or maternal	
Mutation rate	0.00338			0.00168		0.00168		

Mutation rate

Samples from 297 children and their parents from 128 families were analysed and the age distribution of the parents at the birth of the children is shown in Table 3. The youngest father and mother were aged 28 and 27 years, respectively and the oldest father and mother were both 69 years of age. From 297 children, 2 mutations were found at the FGA locus and 1 mutation was found at each of the loci D18S51 and D13S317 (Table 4) and each mutation was found in a different family. We confirmed these mutations by sequencing both strands of the mutated and non-mutated alleles and the paternity by testing eight additional STRs, THO1, TPOX, CSF1PO, ACTBP2, F13A1, FES/FPS, D12S391, GABARB1 and two VNTRs D1S80, D17S5.

In conclusion, this multiplex system is a useful and convenient tool for forensic identification and paternity testing in the Korean population.

References

Alford RL, Hammond HA, Coto I, Caskey CT (1994) Rapid and efficient resolution of parentage by amplification of short tandem repeats. Am J Hum Genet 55: 190–195

- Botstein D, White RL, Skolnick M, Davis RW (1980) Construction of a genetic linkage map in man using restriction fragment length polymorphisms. Am J Hum Genet 32: 314–331
- Gill P, Ivanov PL, Kimpton C, Piercy R, Benson N, Tully G, Evett I, Hagelberg E, Sullivan K (1994) Identification of the remains of the Romanov family by DNA analysis. Nat Genet 6: 130– 135
- Gill P, Kimpton CP, Urquhart A, Oldroyd N, Millican ES, Watson SK, Downes TJ (1995) Automated short tandem repeat (STR) analysis in forensic casework – a strategy for the future. Electrophoresis 16: 1543–1552
- Guo ŚW, Thompson EA (1992) Performing the exact test of Hardy-Weinberg proportion for multiple alleles. Biometrics 48: 361–372
- Hammond HA, Jin L, Zhong Y, Caskey CT, Chakraborty R (1994) Evaluation of 13 short tandem repeat loci for use in personal identification applications. Am J Hum Genet 55: 175–189
- Jones DA (1972) Blood samples: probability of discrimination. J Forensic Sci Soc 12: 355–359
- Kruger J, Fuhrmann W, Lichte KH, Steffens C (1968) On the utilization of erythrocyte acid phosphatase polymorphism in paternity evaluation. Dtsch Z Ges Gerichtl Med 64: 127–146
- Lazaruk K, Walsh PS, Oaks F, Gilbert D, Rosenblum BB, Menchen S, Scheibler D, Wenz HM, Holt C, Wallin J (1998) Genotyping of forensic short tandem repeat (STR) systems based on sizing precision in a capillary electrophoresis instrument. Electrophoresis 19: 86–93
- Nei M, Roychoudhury AK (1974) Sampling variances of heterozygosity and genetic distance. Genetics 76: 379–390